ORIGINAL RESEARCH

The Jordanian Population's Knowledge, Attitudes, and Willingness to Help People with Autism: A Cross-Sectional Study

Sawsan MA Abuhamdah^{1,2}, Abdallah Y Naser ³, Safaa Al Awawdeh⁴

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Al-Ain University, Abu Dhabi Campus, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; ²Department of Biopharmaceutics and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan; ³Department of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Isra University, Amman, Jordan; ⁴Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence: Sawsan MA Abuhamdah, College of Pharmacy, Al Ain University, P.O. Box: 112612, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, Tel +971-26133228, Fax +971-24444304, Email sawsan.abuhamdah@aau.ac.ae

Objective: To assess the knowledge and attitudes of the general public in Jordan towards autism. In addition, we aimed to assess their awareness of various treatment options for autism, and their attentiveness and willingness to assist.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted in Jordan for the period between April and May 2022 using an online questionnaire developed based on a literature review. A total of 833 individuals in Amman city completed the questionnaires assessing participant demographics, knowledge of and attitude towards ADS, awareness of management options, perception, and ability to help. Using logistic regression, the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for those who are more likely to be informed about autism were determined.

Results: The participants' overall understanding of autism spectrum disorder was poor, with a mean score of 6.2 (SD: 3.1) out of 17, or 36.5%. The participants showed a moderately positive attitude towards autism, with an average agreement of 60.9% for government support for ADS children. The items about management options auditory integration training therapy had the highest level (50.1%). Additionally, the participants showed a moderate to high level of attention and ability to help people with autism. The majority confirmed that they see the need to implement changes in public facilities to meet the needs of autistic patients (71.8%). When compared to others, females, aged below 30, single, with family income less than 500 JD, holding a bachelor's degree, and working outside the healthcare field had a higher likelihood of knowing more about the autism spectrum condition ($p \le 0.05$).

Conclusion: Our research illustrates the lack of awareness and knowledge among the Jordanian population regarding autism. To fill this gap, educational awareness programs should be conducted to promote Jordanian knowledge regarding autism and find ways in which communities, organisations, and governments can support so as to allow for early diagnoses and an appropriate treatment plan and therapy for autistic children.

Keywords: attitude, autism, Jordan, knowledge, public

Introduction

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder that affects up to 10 out of every 1000 children around the world.¹ Autism is a spectrum of conditions that influence communication and behavior and typically develop by age two.² It's characterized by problems with social interaction and communication, as well as by doing things in a repetitive way.³ Different comorbid disorders, such as learning challenges, attention deficit disorder, seizure disorder, and cognitive impairment, can occur in children with autism.⁴ There are different risk factors for autism, which include having a sibling with diagnosed autism, having certain conditions (Down syndrome, fragile X, tuberous sclerosis, and Rett syndromes), having a very low birth weight (prematurity), parental exposure to air pollution and chemicals, maternal comorbidities (obesity, diabetes mellitus, metabolic syndrome, and immune system disorders), viral infections, and older parents.^{2,5,6} Males are three to four times more likely to have autism than females, and other conditions such as fragile X syndrome, tuberous

sclerosis, Tourette's syndrome, and epilepsy are all risk factors for autism.⁵ In addition to this, drug use while pregnant has also been noted as a risk factor. A retrospective case study demonstrated the effects of maternal valproic acid consumption during pregnancy on the newborn's autism.⁷ Congenital rubella has even been linked in several studies to autism.^{8,9} Cerebral palsy, another rather common medical disease, is also associated with a high prevalence of autism in children.¹⁰

Compared to other developmental disabilities, autism is significantly more likely to be associated with significant financial consequences.¹¹ However, there are interventions that can be used to improve social interaction and communication, and they can even raise IQ scores when used early in development.^{12–14} Rapid intervention is essential since intensive behavioral therapies may be less successful on older children.¹⁵ The prognosis and developmental outcomes are greatly influenced by diagnosis at a younger age.¹⁵ The increase in awareness of the disease among the general public has contributed to earlier diagnosis ages.¹⁶ Early diagnosis is needed to start multidisciplinary treatment as soon as possible, which in turn makes the outcome better.^{17,18} The general public frequently holds false beliefs about the causes of disorders and the available interventions.¹⁹ Such attributions are likely to delay the behaviour of seeking help and are partially to blame for the significant stigma that autism families must endure.¹⁹

There is no medical treatment that can cure autistic people. As soon as the diagnosis is verified, the only thing that the child's caretakers can do to help them gain new skills such as talking, gazing into their eyes, playing with peers, walking, and socializing is to implement special behavioral therapy.²⁰ In order to improve early diagnosis and treatment, which will result in a better prognosis, it is crucial that the community has a deeper awareness of the ASD spectrum.²¹ A higher level of awareness is associated with a lower age at diagnosis, which ultimately is associated with better treatment outcomes. In order to identify knowledge gaps and provide appropriate intervention, establishing the public's awareness of autism in Jordan has become a crucial problem to examine. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the public's knowledge, attitudes, and willingness to help people with autism in Jordan. The specific objectives for this study are to examine the level of public knowledge of autism and its associated predictors. In addition, we aimed to examine public attitudes towards this disorder and their willingness to help.

Method

Study Design

A cross-sectional study using an online survey was conducted in Jordan between April and May 2022.

Sampling Strategy

Using convenience sampling, eligible individuals were identified and invited to participate in the study. Facebook and WhatsApp were utilized to reach out to the general public and invite them to participate in this study. All subjects freely granted their informed consent; consequently, written consent was not required. Detailed descriptions of the study's aims and objectives were provided at the beginning of the survey. The inclusion criteria were participants who were at least 18 years old and were residing in Jordan. Participants who were under 18 or who could not read or understand Arabic were not invited to participate.

Study Tool

In this study, we developed our own questionnaire tool to assess public awareness of and attitudes towards autism based on previous literature.

The public knowledge assessment scale was developed based on a previously developed questionnaire instrument to investigate public awareness of autism in Saudi Arabia and was adapted to be used in our study.²¹

The attitude scale was developed based on a comprehensive assessment of the literature. We assessed the participants' attitudes towards autism, their awareness of various treatment options for autism, and their attentiveness and willingness to assist using a previously constructed tool by Liu et al.²² The original study by Liu et al examined knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of autism in a sample of preschool teachers. Their tool was designed based on previous literature.²³

Our questionnaire consisted of five sections, including a total of forty-two questions. Seven sociodemographic characteristics of the participants were examined in the first section. The second element of the questionnaire consisted of 17 yes/no questions concerning knowledge of autism. Each successful response was assigned a value of one, and each incorrect response was assigned a value of zero. The highest possible score was 17, with higher scores indicating better knowledge. By means of knowledge questions, participants' understanding of autism's risk factors, symptoms, implications, and treatment options was assessed. The third element of the questionnaire consisted of nine 5-point Likert scale questions (ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree) that assessed the participants' attitudes toward autism. The fourth element consisted of five yes or no questions that assessed participants' knowledge of available autism management options. Using four 5-point Likert scale questions, the final segment assessed participants' attention and capacity to assist (ranging between strongly disagree and strongly agree).

Translation and Adaptation of the Original Questionnaire

In Jordan, Arabic is both the official language and the language most commonly spoken by the general population. Therefore, this questionnaire was translated into Arabic (without a specific dialect) so that it could be readily understood and completed. This questionnaire was translated using the technique of forward and backward translation. This translation technique does not rely on word-by-word translation, but rather on preserving the actual meaning of the research items.

Piloting Phase

Expert clinical pharmacists examined the final questionnaire tool to determine its external validity. Furthermore, the questionnaire tool was administered to a group of individuals from the general public to complete it and give their opinion on its presentation and understandability. They confirmed that it is easy to fill out and that they have not faced any issues. Cronbach's alpha for the knowledge and attitude items was 0.829 and 0.771, respectively, which reflect good and acceptable internal consistency.

Statistical Analysis

Using descriptive statistics, the demographic characteristics of the individuals were described. Normality of the data was checked by the histogram and through skewness and kurtosis measures, which confirmed that the data is normally distributed; therefore, continuous data were reported as the mean \pm standard deviation. Percentages were reported for categorical data (frequencies). Cronbach's alpha reliability measure was used to check the reliability of the knowledge and attitude scales. Using logistic regression, the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for those who are more likely to be informed about autism were determined. The cut-off for the logistic regression was based on the participants' average knowledge score (6.2). (standard deviation: 3.1). As the data was normally distributed, at-test for independent samples and a one-way analysis of variance test were used to compare the average knowledge scores of various demographic groups. Using SPSS, the statistical analyses were conducted (version 27).

Results

Participants' Demographic Characteristics

A total of 833 individuals participated in this study. More than half of them (54.1%) were females. Almost half of them (57.0%) were younger than 30 and single (52.3%). One-third of the study participants (31.2%) reported that they are working outside the healthcare sector and unemployed (31.1%). A total of 68.4% of the participants reported that they hold a bachelor's degree, and 66.4% reported that their family's monthly income is below 500 Jordanian dinars (JOD). Only 8.4% of the participants reported that they have a family member diagnosed with autism. Sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1.

Demographic Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Gender		
Female	451	54.1%
Age category		
18–23 years	304	36.5%
24–29 years	171	20.5%
30–34 years	107	12.8%
35–39 years	64	7.7%
40-49 years	110	13.2%
50 years and over	77	9.2%
Marital status		
Single	436	52.3%
Married	340	40.8%
Divorced	35	4.2%
Widowed	22	2.6%
Employment status		
Work outside the healthcare area	260	31.2%
Unemployed	259	31.1%
Student	187	22.4%
Work inside the healthcare area	84	10.1%
Retired	43	5.2%
Education		
Secondary school level or lower	188	22.6%
Bachelor degree	570	68.4%
Higher education	75	9.0%
Family monthly income		
Less than 500 JD	553	66.4%
500–1000 JD	207	24.8%
1000–1500 JD	48	5.8%
1500 JD and more	25	3.0%
Family member with autism		
Yes	70	8.4%

Table I Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Study Participants

Abbreviation: JD, Jordanian Dinar.

Participants' Knowledge About Autism

The mean participants' autism knowledge score was 6.2 (SD: 3.1) out of 17 (which is equal to 36.5% of the maximum attainable score), reflecting a weak level of knowledge about the disease. The mean autism knowledge score showed a statistically significant difference between participants from different demographic groups based on their employment status ($p \le 0.05$). Table 2 reports the knowledge score stratified by participants' characteristics.

Predictors of Better Knowledge About Autism

Binary logistic regression analysis identified that females are 30% more likely to be knowledgeable about autism ($p\leq0.05$). On the other hand, unemployed participants were 30% less likely to be knowledgeable about autism ($p\leq0.05$), refer to Table 3.

Attitude Towards Autism

Overall, participants showed a moderately positive attitude towards autism, with an average agreement (answering an attitude item with either agree or strongly agree) percentage of 60.9%. The most commonly agreed upon statements were that "government funding should be provided to facilitate the recruitment of staff in kindergartens to meet the needs of these children", "the government should allocate more resources to provide services for children with special needs", and

Demographic Variable	Mean (SD)	P-value
Gender		
Female	6.4 (3.0)	0.083
Male	6.0 (3.1)	
Age category		
18–23 years	6.0 (2.9)	0.088
24-29 years	6.3 (3.2)	
30-34 years	5.7 (2.9)	
35-39 years	5.4 (3.1)	
40-49 years	6.7 (2.9)	
50 years and over	6.8 (3.6)	
Marital status		
Single	6.0 (3.1)	0.102
Married	6.4 (3.0)	
Divorced	6.7 (3.5)	
Widowed	5.2 (3.1)	
Employment status		
Work inside the healthcare area	6.5 (3.0)	0.037*
Work outside the healthcare area	6.4 (3.0)	
Unemployed	5.7 (2.9)	
Student	6.2 (3.2)	
Retired	6.8 (3.3)	
Education		
Secondary school level or lower	6.1 (2.9)	0.393
Bachelor degree	6.3 (3.1)	
Higher education	5.8 (2.8)	
Family monthly income		
Less than 500 JD	6.2 (3.1)	0.763
500–1000 JD	6.2 (3.1)	
1000–1500 JD	6.2 (2.7)	
1500 JD and more	6.8 (3.1)	
Family member with autism	· ·	
No	6.2 (3.0)	0.579
Yes	6.0 (3.5)	

Table 2 Knowledge Score Stratified by Participants Characteristics

Note: *p≤0.05.

Table 3	Binary	Logistic	Regression	Analysis
---------	--------	----------	------------	----------

GenderMale (Reference group)1.000.048"Female1.3 (1.0–1.7)0.048"Age category1.30.9 (0.7–1.3)0.641530–34 years (Reference group)0.7 (0.5–1.1)0.08635–39 years0.7 (0.5–1.1)0.08635–39 years1.2 (0.7–2.0)0.53940–49 years1.3 (0.8–1.9)0.26050 years and over1.5 (0.9–2.4)0.106Married1.2 (0.7–1.6)0.25150 gle (Reference group)1.20.7 (0.5–1.9)Married0.7 (0.3–1.8)0.512Employment status0.7 (0.5–0.9)0.016"Widowed0.7 (0.5–0.9)0.016"Work outside the healthcare area1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.512Student1.0 (0.7–1.4)0.512Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513Education0.6 (0.4–1.0)0.072Family monthy income1.2 (0.7–1.8)0.513East han 500 JD (Reference group)1.2 (0.7–2.2)0.499Isoo JD (Reference group)1.2 (0.7–2.2)0.499Isoo JD (Reference group)1.2 (0.7–2.2)0.499Isoo JD (Reference group)1.000.228Isoo JD (Reference group)1.000.228Isoo JD (Reference group)1.000.752<	Variable	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	P-value					
Female1.3 (1.0–1.7)Age category1.3 (1.0–1.7)18–23 years (Reference group)0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Gender	· · ·						
Age category18-23 years (Reference group)	Male (Reference group)	1.00	0.048*					
I8-23 years (Reference group) I.U 24-29 years 0.9 (0.7-1.3) 0.615 30-34 years 0.7 (0.5-1.1) 0.086 35-39 years 1.2 (0.7-2.0) 0.539 40-49 years 1.3 (0.8-1.9) 0.260 50 years and over 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 0.106 Marital status II.2 (0.9-1.4) 0.251 Marital status II.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.251 Married 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.251 Divorced 1.8 (0.9-3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3-1.8) 0.512 Employment status 0.7 (0.5-0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7-1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.531 Education II.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.193 Family monthy income II.2 (0.9-1.6) <td< th=""><th>Female</th><th>1.3 (1.0–1.7)</th><th></th></td<>	Female	1.3 (1.0–1.7)						
24-29 years 0.9 (0.7-1.3) 0.615 30-34 years 0.7 (0.5-1.1) 0.086 35-39 years 1.2 (0.7-2.0) 0.539 40-49 years 1.3 (0.8-1.9) 0.260 50 years and over 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 0.106 Marital status	Age category							
30-34 years 0.7 (0.5-1.1) 0.086 35-39 years 1.2 (0.7-2.0) 0.539 40-49 years 1.3 (0.8-1.9) 0.260 50 years and over 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 0.106 Marital status	18-23 years (Reference group)	1.00	0					
35-39 years 1.2 (0.7-2.0) 0.539 40-49 years 1.3 (0.8-1.9) 0.260 50 years and over 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 0.106 Marital status	24-29 years	0.9 (0.7–1.3)	0.615					
40-49 years 1.3 (0.8–1.9) 0.260 50 years and over 1.5 (0.9–2.4) 0.106 Marital status	30-34 years	0.7 (0.5–1.1)	0.086					
S0 years and over 1.5 (0.9-2.4) 0.106 Marital status 0.106 0.106 Marital status 0.009 0.0251 Married 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.251 Divorced 1.8 (0.9-3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3-1.8) 0.512 Employment status 0.7 (0.5-0.9) 0.0164 Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7-1.4) 0.975 Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.531 Education 1.0 (0.7-1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.193 Education 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.531 Education 1.0 (0.7-1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.193 Education 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.072 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.072 Family monthly income 1.2 (0.9-1.6) 0.072 Easthan 500 JD (Reference group) 0.8 (0.6-1.1) 0.228	35-39 years	1.2 (0.7–2.0)	0.539					
Marital status Image: Credit of the status Single (Reference group) I.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.251 Married 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.251 Divorced 1.8 (0.9–3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Employment status 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Retired (Reference group) I.0 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education I.0 0.531 Education I.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income I.00 I.0072 Less than 500 JD (Reference group) I.0 0.228 I000–1500 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 I000–1500 JD 0.370 I.0370 Family member with autsm I.00 0.370	40-49 years	1.3 (0.8–1.9)	0.260					
Single (Reference group) I.U Married 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.251 Divorced 1.8 (0.9–3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Employment status 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Retired (Reference group) 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Unemployed 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Less than 500 JD (Reference group) 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 I000–1500 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 I000–1500 JD 0.12 (0.7–2.2) 0.499 I500 JD and more 1.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism NOReference group) 0.053	50 years and over	1.5 (0.9–2.4)	0.106					
Married 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.251 Divorced 1.8 (0.9–3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Employment status Image: Comploy of the complox of the complex of the comple	Marital status							
Divorced 1.8 (0.9–3.6) 0.093 Widowed 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Employment status Imployment status Imployment status Retired (Reference group) 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016 [±] Unemployed 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016 [±] Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education Imployment status 0.531 Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) Imployment status 0.193 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income Imployment status Imployment status 1000–1500 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 1000–1500 JD 0.4499 0.370 Family member with autism I.00 0.370	Single (Reference group)	1.00	0					
Widowed 0.7 (0.3–1.8) 0.512 Employment status	Married	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	0.251					
Employment status I.O. Retired (Reference group) I.O. (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Unemployed 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) I.O. (0.7–1.6) 0.193 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income I.O. (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Ess than 500 JD (Reference group) I.O. I.O. 500–1000 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 1000–1500 JD 1.2 (0.7–2.2) 0.499 1500 JD and more 1.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism I.O. 0.752	Divorced	1.8 (0.9–3.6)	0.093					
Retired (Reference group) I.0 Unemployed 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education 0.5 0.193 Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) 0.5 0.193 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 0.531 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 0.193 Family monthly income 0.502 0.193 Io00–1500 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 0.370 Family monthly income 0.499 0.370 Is00 JD and more 1.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism No (Reference group) 1.00	Widowed	0.7 (0.3–1.8)	0.512					
Unemployed 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 0.016* Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education	Employment status							
Work outside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education 0.531 Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) I.U 0.975 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income I.U 0.975 Less than 500 JD (Reference group) I.U 0.228 1000–1500 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 1000–1500 JD 1.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism I.00 0.752	Retired (Reference group)	1.00	0					
Student 1.0 (0.7–1.4) 0.975 Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education	Unemployed	0.7 (0.5–0.9)	0.016*					
Work inside the healthcare area 1.2 (0.7–1.8) 0.531 Education	Work outside the healthcare area	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	0.193					
Education I.U Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) I.U Bachelor degree I.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income I.U So0–1000 JD (Reference group) 500–1000 JD (Reference group) I.U 0.228 1000–1500 JD I.2 (0.7–2.2) 0.499 1500 JD and more I.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism I.00 0.752	Student	1.0 (0.7–1.4)	0.975					
Secondary school level or lower (Reference group) I.0 Bachelor degree 1.2 (0.9–1.6) 0.193 Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income Less than 500 JD (Reference group) I.0 500–1000 JD 0.8 (0.6–1.1) 0.228 1000–1500 JD 1.2 (0.7–2.2) 0.499 IS00 JD and more 1.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism 1.00 0.752	Work inside the healthcare area	1.2 (0.7–1.8)	0.531					
(Reference group) Image: Comparison of the symbol of the sym	Education							
Higher education 0.6 (0.4–1.0) 0.072 Family monthly income Image: Component of the second of the secon	-	1.00	0					
Family monthly income I.00 Less than 500 JD (Reference group) 1.00 500-1000 JD 0.8 (0.6-1.1) 0.228 1000-1500 JD 1.2 (0.7-2.2) 0.499 1500 JD and more 1.4 (0.6-3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism 1.00 0.752	Bachelor degree	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	0.193					
Less than 500 JD (Reference group) I.00 500-1000 JD 0.8 (0.6-1.1) 0.228 1000-1500 JD 1.2 (0.7-2.2) 0.499 1500 JD and more 1.4 (0.6-3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism 1.00 0.752	Higher education	0.6 (0.4–1.0)	0.072					
S00-1000 JD 0.8 (0.6-1.1) 0.228 1000-1500 JD 1.2 (0.7-2.2) 0.499 1500 JD and more 1.4 (0.6-3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism 1.00 0.752	Family monthly income							
I 000–1500 JD I.2 (0.7–2.2) 0.499 I 500 JD and more I.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism I.00 0.752	Less than 500 JD (Reference group)	1.00	0					
I 500 JD and more I.4 (0.6–3.2) 0.370 Family member with autism 1.00 0.752	500–1000 JD	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.228					
Family member with autism No (Reference group) 1.00 0.752	1000–1500 JD	1.2 (0.7–2.2)	0.499					
No (Reference group) 1.00 0.752	1500 JD and more	1.4 (0.6–3.2)	0.370					
	Family member with autism							
	No (Reference group)	1.00	0.752					
1es 0.7 (0.6–1.5)	Yes	0.9 (0.6–1.5)						

Note: *p≤0.05.

ltem Number	Variable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I	"Children with special needs must be included in regular schools"	12.2%	17.5%	25.8%	28.1%	16.3%
2	"All kindergartens must allow children who need special education to attend their classes until they can go to specialized centers"	4.7%	11.8%	25.2%	42.1%	16.2%
3	"Kindergartens must allow parents to be in the classroom for children with special needs"	5.0%	19.8%	31.5%	30.7%	13.0%
4	"All preschools should have special education teachers and therapists to provide services for children with special needs who attend classes there"	2.8%	6.8%	20.3%	38.2%	31.9%
5	"Government funding should be provided to facilitate the recruitment of staff in kindergartens to meet the needs of these children"	2.9%	6.0%	15.2%	36.6%	39.3%
6	"Parents must help defray the cost of services within preschools"	8.2%	14.4%	28.6%	30.3%	18.6%
7	"The government should allocate more resources to provide services for children with special needs"	3.1%	5.6%	15.8%	37.2%	38.2%
8	"Insurance policies should be modified to include coverage for developmental disorders such as chronic disabilities"	3.4%	7.0%	18.2%	35.2%	36.3%
9	"Parents are responsible for obtaining services for their children with special needs"	6.4%	9.6%	24.5%	37.3%	22.2%

Table 4 Participants' Response Concerning Attitude Items

"insurance policies should be modified to include coverage for developmental disorders such as chronic disabilities", with 75.9%, 75.4%, and 71.5%, respectively. The least commonly agreed-upon statement was that "kindergartens must allow parents to be in the classroom for children with special needs" with 43.7%. Table 4 shows participants' responses to all attitude items, Table 4.

Awareness of Available Management Options for Autism

When the participants were examined in terms of their awareness of the available options for the management of autism, the most commonly reported management options were "auditory integrative therapy", providing regular organized training, and therapeutic interventions to develop the relationship with the patient with 50.1%, 48.7%, and 46.3%, respectively. Table 5 shows participants' responses concerning their awareness of available management options for autism items.

Variable	Percentage (Yes)
Auditory integrative therapy	50.1%
Regular organized training	48.7%
Therapeutic interventions to develop the relationship with the patient	46.3%
Sensory Integrative Therapy	44.7%
Applied Behavioral Analysis	37.9%

Table 5 Participants'	Response	Concerning	Awareness	of	Available	Management	Options	for
Autism Items								

ltem Number	Variable	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
I	"I feel ready to deal with children with special needs"	8.8%	13.9%	28.2%	30.4%	18.7%
2	"I am interested in attending a training in Childhood Behavioral and Developmental Disorders"	3.4%	12.1%	26.2%	40.6%	17.8%
3	"I am eager to be a partner in treating and improving the condition of autistic patients, for example using visual aids and medications"	1.7%	9.6%	28.5%	39.9%	20.4%
4	"I see the need to implement changes in public facilities to meet the needs of autistic patients"	2.2%	5.3%	20.8%	39.5%	32.3%

Table 6 Participants' Attention and Ability to Help People with Autism

Attention and Ability to Help

When the participants were examined in terms of their attention and ability to help people with autism, they showed a moderate to high level of agreement towards this concept, with an average agreement (answering an attitude item with either agree or strongly agree) percentage of 59.9%. The most commonly agreed-upon statement was that "they see the need to implement changes in public facilities to meet the needs of autistic patients" with 71.8%, and the least commonly agreed upon statement was that "they feel ready to deal with children with special needs" with 49.1%. Table 6 shows participants' attention and ability to help people with autism.

Discussion

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is one of the more serious neurological developmental disorders that is usually diagnosed below the age of three years. ASD imposes a heavy economic burden on society and the patients' families. These patients require considerable care, demanding significant financial resources.²⁴ Early intervention may lead to better outcomes in some patients. Epidemiological studies have shown a rapid increase in the prevalence of ASD in recent years, with a prevalence of four to five-times more in boys than girls.¹

The aim of this study was to examine the public's knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions to help people with autism in Jordan. The core findings of our study are that: 1) the public's knowledge in Jordan about autism was poor 2) females were more likely to be knowledgeable about autism, 3) moderately positive attitude towards autism was identified among the general public, and 4) attention and the ability to help people with autism were moderately observed among the Jordanian population.

Our participants were not sufficiently aware of autism disorder, they displayed poor knowledge in this section. The mean knowledge score among the study participants was 6.2 (SD: 3.1) out of 17 (which is equal to 36.5% of the maximum attainable score), reflecting a poor level of knowledge about the disease. The poor knowledge displayed by our participants is similar to other studies such as one conducted in Saudi Arabia exploring the disorder knowledge of the general community,²¹ in preschool teachers in China 2016²² and one conducted in Pakistan, in 2018, among parents.²⁵ Overall, there is a lack of awareness in other crucial subject areas, like evidence-based services, but there is some familiarity with the topography of autism among the general public.²⁶ In patients with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). early diagnosis and management may improve outcomes for some people.²⁷ Prior studies have identified a number of advantages to greater public understanding of autism. Reduced stigma toward autistic people is a frequently mentioned advantage across groups and situations, in addition to better identification and earlier service availability.²⁸ People with a low level of awareness of autism frequently harbor harmful assumptions about autistic people and bully them.²⁹ For autistic people, these situations are linked to lower self-esteem,³⁰ reluctance to self-disclose diagnosis,³¹ and feeling socially isolated from peers.³² On the other hand, a higher level of understanding about autism has been linked to fewer stigmas, higher acceptance of autistic people, less overt bias against autism, and more favorable initial impressions of autistic people.^{24,31} Therefore, raising awareness about autism among the general public is essential for eliminating stigma and enhancing the general wellbeing of people with autism.

Knowledge of autism has been demonstrated to be beneficial in a variety of settings and for a number of general demographic groups. Greater parental empowerment was linked to greater knowledge of autism. In a separate study, neurotypical classmates of middle schoolers with autism demonstrated only limited understanding of the condition.³³ With more information, however, neurotypical peers had more favorable opinions of their autistic peers.³⁴

In our study, females were 30% more likely to be knowledgeable about autism ($p\leq0.05$). On the other hand, unemployed participants were 30% less likely to be knowledgeable about autism ($p\leq0.05$). This was confirming the findings of previous studies, which demonstrated a higher level of knowledge among females.^{21,25,35} The fact that females are more interested than males in studying diseases could be one explanation.^{24,36,37} One of these studies found that there was a sizable knowledge gap between men and women, with women having a higher understanding of psychiatric disorders.³⁸

In our study, the participants showed a moderately positive attitude toward autism. The majority of the participants confirmed that government funding should be provided to facilitate the recruitment of staff in kindergartens to meet the needs of these children and to allocate more resources to provide services for them. In addition, they confirmed that insurance policies should be modified to include coverage for developmental disorders such as chronic disabilities. However, a lower proportion of the participants confirmed that kindergartens must allow parents to be in the classroom for children with special needs. Prior research highlighted the high cost of necessary care and significant insurance coverage gaps for people with autism.³⁹ Governments and insurance companies should increase the coverage of services related to ASD in response to the rising prevalence rate of the disorder.³⁹ In order to enhance the public's attitude towards autism, a higher level of knowledge about the disorder is needed.³⁸ Public perception about autism is shaped by their knowledge about the disease, which ultimately will be reflected in their attitude toward it. This could be improved by using social media to provide more details and shed light on how truthful, factual, or generalizable the representations of autism in popular media are.³⁸

Conclusion

It can be safely concluded that there is a lack of awareness and insufficient knowledge about autism among the Jordanian population, which may have delayed early identification and providing appropriate intervention, leading to unsatisfactory outcomes in ADS patients. More funds should be allocated to increase public knowledge, enhance their attitude towards patients with autism, and improve the services provided for this group of patients. Significant efforts should be made to increase community awareness of autism through organizations and governments that can support early diagnosis and an appropriate treatment plan and therapy for autistic children.

Data Sharing Statement

All data are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Isra University, Amman, Jordan gave their clearance with IRB No. SREC/22/03/36. This study was conducted in accordance with the World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Elsabbagh M, Divan G, Koh YJ, et al. Global prevalence of autism and other pervasive developmental disorders. *Autism Res.* 2012;5(3):160–179. doi:10.1002/aur.239
- 2. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Autism; 2022. Available from: https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/conditions/autism/ index.cfm. Accessed April 16, 2023.
- 3. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. American Psychiatric Association; 2023. Available from: https://www.psychiatry.org/psychiatrists/practice/dsm.
- 4. Almandil NB, Alkuroud DN, AbdulAzeez S, AlSulaiman A, Elaissari A, Borgio JF. Environmental and genetic factors in autism spectrum disorders: special emphasis on data from Arabian studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(4):1–16. doi:10.3390/ijerph16040658
- MayoClinic. Autism spectrum disorder; 2018. Available from: https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/autism-spectrum-disorder/diagno sis-treatment/drc-20352934. Accessed January 21, 2023.
- 6. Styles M, Alsharshani D, Samara M, et al. Risk factors, diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of autism. Front Biosci. 2020;25(9):1682-1717. doi:10.2741/4873
- Williams G, King J, Cunningham M, Stephan M, Kerr B, Hersh JH. Fetal valproate syndrome and autism: additional evidence of an association. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2001;43(3):202–206. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2001.tb00188.x
- 8. Berger BE, Navar-Boggan AM, Omer SB. Congenital rubella syndrome and autism spectrum disorder prevented by rubella vaccination–United States, 2001–2010. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11(340):1–5. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-340
- 9. Chess S, Fernandez P, Korn S. Behavioral consequences of congenital rubella. J Pediatr. 1978;93(4):699-703. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(78)80921-4
- 10. Fombonne E. The prevalence of autism. J Am Med Assoc. 2003;289(1):87-89. doi:10.1001/jama.289.1.87
- Buescher AV, Cidav Z, Knapp M, Mandell DS. Costs of autism spectrum disorders in the United Kingdom and the United States. JAMA Pediatr. 2014;168(8):721–728. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.210
- 12. Cohen H, Amerine-Dickens M, Smith T. Early intensive behavioral treatment: replication of the UCLA model in a community setting. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2006;27:S145–S155. doi:10.1097/00004703-200604002-00013
- 13. Eikeseth S, Smith T, Jahr E, Eldevik S. Outcome for children with autism who began intensive behavioral treatment between ages 4 and 7: a comparison controlled study. *Behav Modif.* 2007;31(3):264–278. doi:10.1177/0145445506291396
- Remington B, Hastings RP, Kovshoff H, et al. Early intensive behavioral intervention: outcomes for children with autism and their parents after two years. Am J Ment Retardation. 2007;112(6):418–438. doi:10.1352/0895-8017(2007)112[418:EIBIOF]2.0.CO;2
- Fenske EC, Zalenski S, Krantz PJ, et al. Age at intervention and treatment outcome for autistic children in a comprehensive intervention program. Anal Intervent Dev Disabil. 1985;5(1):49–58. doi:10.1016/S0270-4684(85)80005-7
- Kogan MD, Blumberg SJ, Schieve LA, et al. Prevalence of parent-reported diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder among children in the US, 2007. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(5):1395–1403. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-1522
- 17. Babatin AM, Alzahrani BS, Jan FM, Alkarimi EH, Jan MM. The availability of services for children with autism spectrum disorder in a Saudi population. *Neurosciences*. 2016;21(3):223–226. doi:10.17712/nsj.2016.3.20150597
- 18. McConachie H, Robinson G. What services do young children with autism spectrum disorder receive? *Child Care Health Dev.* 2006;32 (5):553–557. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00672.x
- Wang J, Zhou X, Xia W, Sun C, Wu L, Wang J. Autism awareness and attitudes towards treatment in caregivers of children aged 3–6 years in Harbin, China. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2012;47(8):1301–1308. doi:10.1007/s00127-011-0438-9
- Eldevik S, Hastings RP, Hughes JC, Jahr E, Eikeseth S, Cross S. Meta-analysis of early intensive behavioral intervention for children with autism. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2009;38(3):439–450. doi:10.1080/15374410902851739
- 21. Alyami HS, Naser AY, Alyami MH, et al. Knowledge and attitudes toward autism spectrum disorder in Saudi Arabia. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(6):3648. doi:10.3390/ijerph19063648
- 22. Liu Y, Li J, Zheng Q, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of autism spectrum disorder in a stratified sampling of preschool teachers in China. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2016;16:1–12. doi:10.1186/s12888-016-0845-2
- 23. Lian WB, Ying SH, Tean SC, Lin DC, Lian YC, Yun HL. Pre-school teachers' knowledge, attitudes and practices on childhood developmental and behavioural disorders in Singapore. J Paediatr Child Health. 2008;44(4):187–194. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1754.2007.01231.x
- 24. Jones SC, Akram M, Gordon CS, Murphy N, Sharkie F. Autism in Australia: community knowledge and autistic people's experiences. J Autism Dev Disord. 2021;51(10):3677–3689. doi:10.1007/s10803-020-04819-3
- 25. Anwar MS, Tahir M, Nusrat K, Khan MR. Knowledge, awareness, and perceptions regarding autism among parents in Karachi, Pakistan. *Cureus*. 2018;10(9):1–12.
- 26. Golson ME, Benallie KJ, Benney CM, et al. Current state of autism knowledge in the general population of the United States. *Res Autism Spectr Disord*. 2022;90:101886. doi:10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101886
- 27. Fernell E, Eriksson MA, Gillberg C. Early diagnosis of autism and impact on prognosis: a narrative review. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2013;5:33-43. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S41714
- 28. Mitchell GE, Locke KD. Lay beliefs about autism spectrum disorder among the general public and childcare providers. *Autism.* 2015;19 (5):553–561. doi:10.1177/1362361314533839
- 29. Danker J, Strnadová I, Cumming TM. Picture my well-being: listening to the voices of students with autism spectrum disorder. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2019;89:130–140. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2019.04.005
- 30. Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing stigma. Annu Rev Sociol. 2001;27:363–385. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363
- 31. Sasson NJ, Morrison KE. First impressions of adults with autism improve with diagnostic disclosure and increased autism knowledge of peers. *Autism.* 2019;23(1):50–59. doi:10.1177/1362361317729526
- 32. Gillespie-Lynch K, Khalulyan A, Del Rosario M, et al. Is early joint attention associated with school-age pragmatic language? *Autism*. 2015;19 (2):168–177. doi:10.1177/1362361313515094
- 33. Carlsson LH, Westerlund J, Olsson MB, et al. Autism spectrum disorders before diagnosis: results from routine developmental surveillance at 18 months. Acta Paediatrica. 2016;105(7):823–828. doi:10.1111/apa.13418

- 34. Campbell JM. Changing children's attitudes toward autism: a process of persuasive communication. J Dev Phys Disabil. 2006;18:251–272. doi:10.1007/s10882-006-9015-7
- Shaukat F, Fatima A, Zehra N, Hussein MA, Ismail O. Assessment of knowledge about childhood autism among medical students from private and public universities in Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc. 2014;64(11):1331–1334.
- 36. Alsehemi MA, Sairafi M, Jan R, Jan MM. Public awareness of autism spectrum disorder. *Neurosciences*. 2017;22:213–215. doi:10.17712/nsj.2017.3.20160525
- Lyall KA, Van deWater P, Hertz-Picciotto J, Hertz-Picciotto I. Maternal immune-mediated conditions, autism spectrum disorders, and developmental delay. J Autism Dev Disord. 2014;44:1546–1555. doi:10.1007/s10803-013-2017-2
- Kuzminski R, Netto J, Wilson J, Falkmer T, Chamberlain A, Falkmer M. Linking knowledge and attitudes: determining neurotypical knowledge about and attitudes towards autism. PLoS One. 2019;14(7):1–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220197
- 39. Callaghan T, Sylvester S. Autism spectrum disorder, politics, and the generosity of insurance mandates in the United States. *PLoS One*. 2019;14 (5):1–22. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217064

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

Dovepress

1213

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal